UNIT 12: THE PROOF OF HYPOTHESIS

UNIT STRUCTURE

12.1 Learning objectives
12.2 Introduction
12.3 Objectives of the Proof of Hypothesis
12.4Significance of the Proof of the Hypothesis
12.5 Hypothesis Testing and Data Analysis
12.6 Theoretical and Practical Implications of Hypothesis Testing
12.7 Strong Hypothesis Proof Requires Reliability and Validity of Results
12.8 Proof of Hypothesis Guides Future Research and Contributes to Knowledge
12.9 The Proof of Hypothesis Enhances Research Quality and Integrity
12.10 Hypothesis Testing Fosters Critical Thinking and Analytical Skills
12.11 Let Us Sum Up
12.12 Further Readings
12.13 Answers to check your progress
12.14 Model questions

12.1 LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After going through this unit, you will be able to:

- Explain how hypothesis plays a pivotal role in transforming abstract ideas into testable propositions.
- Discuss the main objectives of proving a hypothesis.
- Explain and examine the significance of proving a hypothesis.
- Explain hypothesis testing and data analysis with suitable examples.
- Describe the theoretical and practical implications of hypothesis testing.
- Explain how strong hypothesis proof requires reliability and validity of results.
- Explain how proof of hypothesis guides future research and contributes to knowledge.

- Explain how the proof of hypothesis enhances research quality and integrity.
- Explain and examine how hypothesis testing fosters critical thinking and analytical skills.

12.2 INTRODUCTION

In the field of scientific research and academic inquiry, the hypothesis plays a pivotal role in transforming abstract ideas into testable propositions. A hypothesis is a tentative statement that predicts a relationship between variables based on existing knowledge, theories, or observations. Professor R. C. Munshi says, “A hypothesis is a supposition made in the absence of adequate evidence of explaining some strange and complex event” (Munshi, 1988:99). Mill defines hypothesis as “any supposition which we make in order to endeavour to deduce from its conclusions, in accordance with acts which are known to be real; under the idea that is the conclusions to which the hypothesis leads are known truths, the hypothesis itself either must be, or at least is likely to be true” (Ibid.). Thus, in a sense, a hypothesis will be valid and good if it has the effective power of prediction and explanation. Indeed the power of hypothesis means its productivity. From a certain hypothesis we can deduce verifiable and confirmable consequences. According to Copi, when we can deduce perceptible consequences in great numbers from a hypothesis, we can claim that the hypothesis is sufficiently fertile and effective as an explanation. Copi remarked, “ In any investigation the stage will be reached, sooner or later, at which the investigator – whether detective, scientist, or ordinary mortal – will begin to feel that all the facts needed for solving the problem are at hand” (Copi, 1982: 480).

However, the value of a hypothesis lies not merely in its formulation but in its systematic testing and verification. The process through which a hypothesis is examined using empirical evidence is known as the proof of hypothesis. This process forms the foundation of scientific reasoning and ensures that research findings are objective, reliable, and meaningful.

The proof of hypothesis involves the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data to determine whether the proposed statement is supported or contradicted by evidence. It does not imply absolute confirmation of truth; rather, it assesses the probability that the observed results occurred by chance. In most scientific studies, researchers begin with two opposing statements: the null hypothesis, which assumes no significant relationship or effect, and the alternative hypothesis, which suggests the presence of a relationship or effect. Through systematic testing, researchers evaluate these hypotheses and decide whether to accept or reject the null hypothesis.

This process is essential because it introduces objectivity and discipline into research. Without hypothesis testing, conclusions would rely heavily on personal opinions or unverified assumptions. The proof of hypothesis uses established research designs, statistical tools, and logical reasoning to minimize bias and ensure consistency. Techniques such as experiments, surveys, observations, and statistical analyses are commonly employed to test hypotheses across various fields, including natural sciences, social sciences, education, medicine, and business. Karl Popper remarked, “Theories are not verified, but tested; they are accepted only tentatively until they are refuted” (Popper, 1963: 33). Likewise, R. A. Fisher said, *“*A scientific fact should be regarded as experimentally established only if a properly designed experiment rarely fails to give this level of significance” ((Fisher, 1935: 13). Moreover, the proof of hypothesis contributes significantly to theory development and knowledge advancement. When hypotheses derived from existing theories are tested, the results either strengthen those theories or highlight their limitations, leading to refinement or the development of new theoretical frameworks. Even when a hypothesis is rejected, the findings remain valuable as they provide insights, reveal gaps in understanding, and guide future research.

In practical terms, hypothesis testing plays a crucial role in decision-making and problem-solving. Policies, treatments, educational strategies, and business plans are often implemented based on the outcomes of tested hypotheses. By relying on empirical evidence rather than assumptions, researchers and practitioners can make informed and effective decisions.

Thus, the proof of a hypothesis is a cornerstone of scientific investigation. It provides a systematic and evidence-based approach to validating ideas, establishing relationships between variables, and advancing knowledge. Through this process, research moves beyond speculation to contribute credible and verifiable insights to academic and practical fields alike.

12.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE PROOF OF HYPOTHESIS

The proof of hypothesis is a fundamental component of the research process that gives direction, structure, and validity to scientific inquiry. The objective of proving or testing hypothesises to use a structured, scientific process to determine whether an initial, educated guess or assumption about a phenomenon is likely true or false based on empirical evidence and data analysis. A hypothesis addresses a broad research question into a specific, clear and testable statement, guiding the entire investigation and ensuring efficient use of resources. The other core objective of hypothesis is to facilitate objective analysis, specifically concentrates on the existing body of knowledge and can lead to the refinement of existing theories. Again it will ensure testability and falsifiability which is central to the scientific method. In a nutshell, the proof of a hypothesis is a process of gathering sufficient evidence to lead strong support to, or to reject, a proposed explanation, moving from a state of assumption to a state of evidence. It involves systematically testing a proposed statement about the relationship between variables using empirical data and appropriate analytical techniques. The objectives of proving a hypothesis go beyond simply accepting or rejecting a statement; they contribute to the development of knowledge, improvement of research quality, and informed decision-making across disciplines. The major objectives of the proof of hypothesis are discussed below.

1. To Test the Validity of Research Assumptions

One of the primary objectives of hypothesis proof is to examine whether the assumptions made by the researcher are supported by factual evidence. Many research problems arise from observations, experiences, or theoretical expectations. However, without testing, these assumptions remain speculative.

Hypothesis testing allows researchers to verify whether their expectations hold true under empirical scrutiny, thereby distinguishing between belief and evidence-based knowledge.

2. To Establish Relationships Between Variables

Another important objective is to determine the nature and strength of relationships between variables. Through hypothesis testing, researchers can identify whether changes in one variable lead to changes in another, or whether variables are simply associated without a cause-and-effect relationship. This objective is especially important in fields such as psychology, education, economics, and social sciences, where understanding relationships helps explain complex human and social behaviours.

3. To Provide Direction and Focus to Research

A clearly defined hypothesis gives research a specific direction. The proof of hypothesis ensures that data collection and analysis are purposeful rather than random. By focusing on testing particular relationships or effects, researchers avoid unnecessary data gathering and concentrate on relevant variables. This focus enhances efficiency and clarity in the research process.

4. To Support or Refute Existing Theories

Hypotheses are often derived from established theories or conceptual frameworks. One key objective of hypothesis proof is to assess whether these theories are supported by empirical evidence. When a hypothesis is confirmed, it strengthens the underlying theory. When it is rejected, it may indicate the need for theoretical revision or the development of new perspectives. In this way, hypothesis testing contributes to the continuous evolution of scientific knowledge.

5. To Enhance Objectivity and Reduce Bias

Research without hypothesis testing risks being influenced by personal opinions, expectations or selective interpretation of data. The proof of the

hypothesis relies on standardized methods, predefined criteria, and statistical procedures, which help minimize subjectivity and bias. This objectivity ensures that conclusions are based on evidence rather than the researcher's preferences, increasing the credibility and reliability of the study.

6. To Facilitate Decision-Making and Policy Formulation

In applied research, hypothesis testing plays a crucial role in decision-making and policy development. Governments, organizations, educators, and healthcare professionals rely on tested hypotheses to design interventions, policies, and strategies. For example, before implementing a new educational curriculum or medical treatment, hypotheses about its effectiveness must be tested. This objective ensures that decisions are informed by reliable data rather than assumptions or trial-and-error approaches.

7. To Enable Prediction and Control

Another important objective of hypothesis testing is to enable the prediction of outcomes under specific conditions. When a hypothesis is supported, it allows researchers to predict future events or behaviours with greater confidence. In experimental sciences, this predictive ability often leads to better control over variables, improving the effectiveness of interventions and applications in real-world situations.

8. To Ensure Scientific Rigor and Accuracy

The proof of hypothesis enhances the scientific rigor of research by requiring precise measurement, systematic procedures, and careful analysis. Researchers must clearly define variables, choose appropriate tools, and apply suitable statistical tests. This rigor reduces errors, increases accuracy, and ensures that findings are replicable, which is a key requirement of scientific research.

9. To Identify Limitations and Research Gaps

Hypothesis testing not only highlights what is supported by evidence but also reveals the limitations of a study. When hypotheses are not supported, researchers are encouraged to examine methodological weaknesses, sample limitations, or external influences. This objective helps identify gaps in knowledge and provides a foundation for future research.

10. To Contribute to Knowledge Development

The proof of hypothesis aims to expand the existing body of knowledge. Whether hypotheses are accepted or rejected, the results contribute valuable information to academic literature. Each tested hypothesis adds clarity, refines understanding, and supports cumulative learning across disciplines.

In summary, the objectives of the proof of hypothesis are multifaceted and central to the research process. They include testing assumptions, establishing relationships, supporting theory, enhancing objectivity, enabling prediction, guiding decision-making, and contributing to knowledge development. By fulfilling these objectives, hypothesis testing ensures that research remains systematic, reliable, and meaningful, reinforcing its role as a cornerstone of scientific inquiry.

ACTIVITY 12.1

- Can verifiable and confirmable consequences be deduced from a certain hypothesis? Explain

……
……
……

CHECK YOUR PROGRESS

Q1. “A hypothesis is a supposition made in the absence of adequate evidence to explain some strange and complex event” - Who said this?

Q2. "In any investigation, the stage will be reached, sooner or later, at which the investigator – whether detective, scientist, or ordinary mortal – will begin to feel that all the facts needed for solving the problem are at hand" - Who said?
Q3. A hypothesis addresses a broad research question into a specific, clear, and testable statement, guiding the entire investigation and ensuring efficient use of resources. (True/False)
Q4. The proof of a hypothesis aims to expand the existing body of knowledge. (True/False)

Q5. The proof of a hypothesis enhances the scientific rigor of research by requiring precise measurement, systematic procedures, and careful analysis. (True/False)

12.4 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROOF OF THE HYPOTHESIS

The proof of a hypothesis interprets results from data analysis, evaluating them against stated hypotheses. It explains what the results mean, how they address research objectives, and whether they support or reject proposed hypotheses. By linking empirical evidence with theoretical assumptions, researchers establish the significance of their findings, making this a crucial step.

12.5 HYPOTHESIS TESTING AND DATA ANALYSIS

In the process of proving a hypothesis, researchers generally begin by testing the null hypothesis (H_0), which assumes no significant relationship or difference between variables. The alternative hypothesis (H_1) proposes the existence of a relationship or effect. Data collected through appropriate research methods—such as experiments, surveys, observations, or secondary sources—are analyzed using statistical or qualitative techniques depending on the nature of the study.

In quantitative research, statistical tools such as t-tests, chi-square tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA), correlation, and regression analysis are commonly employed. These tests help determine whether observed differences

or relationships are statistically significant. A predetermined level of significance, often set at 0.05, serves as the benchmark for decision-making. If the calculated probability value (p-value) is less than the level of significance, the null hypothesis is rejected, indicating support for the alternative hypothesis. If the p-value is greater, the null hypothesis is accepted or retained. Thus, there is no question of doubt that in qualitative research, hypothesis proof relies on thematic analysis, pattern identification, and logical interpretation rather than numerical significance. Findings emerge from recurring themes, participant narratives, and contextual evidence, which collectively support or challenge the proposed assumptions.

The hypothesis testing indicates whether the empirical evidence aligns with the researcher's expectations. When the null hypothesis is rejected, it suggests that a significant relationship or effect exists between the variables under study. Such findings often confirm theoretical assumptions or prior research, thereby strengthening existing knowledge. For example, a study may find that a new teaching method significantly improves student performance, supporting the alternative hypothesis. Conversely, when the null hypothesis is not rejected, the findings suggest that there is insufficient evidence to support the proposed relationship. While such outcomes may appear negative, they are equally important. They challenge assumptions, prevent false conclusions, and encourage researchers to re-examine their theories, methods, or variables. Non-significant findings often highlight the complexity of real-world phenomena and the influence of external or uncontrolled factors. Moreover, an essential aspect of the discussion is comparing the findings with previous studies and established theories, as this contextualization helps to reinforce the reliability and validity of the findings when results are consistent with earlier research, or prompts researchers to explore possible reasons for discrepancies, such as differences in sample size, research design, measurement tools, or contextual factors, when results differ, thereby enriching the discussion and situating the findings within the broader body of knowledge, fostering a deeper understanding of the research topic and identifying potential avenues for future research.

12.6 THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF HYPOTHESIS TESTING

It is important to note that hypothesis testing has important theoretical and practical implications. Theoretically, it contributes to the development, refinement, or rejection of existing frameworks. Practically, it informs decision-making in applied fields such as education, healthcare, business, and public policy. For instance, findings supporting a hypothesis may lead to the adoption of new practices or interventions, while findings that do not support a hypothesis may prevent ineffective or costly decisions.

No research is free from limitations, and acknowledging them is an essential part of the discussion. Limitations may include small sample size, measurement errors, response bias, time constraints, or external variables that were not controlled. These factors can influence the findings and should be considered when interpreting results. Recognizing limitations does not weaken the study; rather, it enhances transparency and scientific integrity.

12.7 STRONG HYPOTHESIS PROOF REQUIRES RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF RESULTS

The discussion also addresses the reliability and validity of the findings. Reliability refers to the consistency of the results, while validity concerns whether the study accurately measured what it intended to measure. Strong hypothesis proof requires both. Researchers often use standardized instruments, pilot studies, and appropriate analytical techniques to enhance reliability and validity, thereby strengthening confidence in the findings.

12.8 PROOF OF HYPOTHESIS GUIDES FUTURE RESEARCH AND CONTRIBUTES TO KNOWLEDGE

Regardless of whether a hypothesis is supported or rejected, the findings contribute to the cumulative process of knowledge building. Supported hypotheses add empirical evidence to existing theories, while unsupported hypotheses identify gaps and stimulate further inquiry. Each finding, therefore, plays a role in advancing understanding and guiding future research.

Precisely speaking, the proof of a hypothesis interprets evidence and evaluates its significance in relation to the research questions. Through systematic analysis, comparison with existing literature, and consideration of limitations, this section demonstrates how empirical evidence supports or challenges the proposed hypotheses. It not only validates the research process but also contributes meaningfully to theory, practice, and future investigations, reinforcing the importance of hypothesis testing in scientific research.

The proof of hypothesis is *a fundamental and indispensable component* of the research process, serving as the bridge between theoretical assumptions and empirical evidence. Throughout scientific and academic inquiry, hypotheses provide direction and focus by clearly stating what the researcher seeks to examine. However, it is through systematic testing and analysis that these hypotheses gain meaning and contribute to reliable knowledge. The conclusion of the proof of hypothesis therefore emphasizes the importance of evidence-based reasoning, objectivity, and methodological rigor in research.

Hypothesis testing enables researchers to evaluate the validity of their assumptions and determine whether proposed relationships between variables are supported by data. By formulating null and alternative hypotheses and subjecting them to empirical examination, researchers can make informed decisions about accepting or rejecting initial propositions. This structured approach ensures that conclusions are not based on personal beliefs or intuition but on measurable and verifiable evidence. As a result, research findings become more credible and scientifically sound.

One of the most significant contributions of the proof of hypothesis is its role in advancing knowledge and theory. When hypotheses are supported, they strengthen existing theories and provide empirical backing for established concepts. When hypotheses are not supported, they reveal limitations in current understanding and encourage the refinement of theories or the development of new frameworks. In both cases, the research process benefits, as knowledge evolves through continuous testing, evaluation, and revision.

12.9 THE PROOF OF HYPOTHESIS ENHANCES RESEARCH QUALITY AND INTEGRITY

The proof of hypothesis also enhances the quality and integrity of research by promoting objectivity and precision. The use of standardized research designs, reliable measurement tools, and appropriate analytical techniques reduces bias and error. This rigor ensures that findings can be replicated and verified by other researchers, which is a cornerstone of scientific inquiry. By adhering to these principles, researchers contribute to the accumulation of trustworthy and generalizable knowledge.

In practical terms, hypothesis testing has far-reaching implications across various fields. In education, healthcare, business, social sciences, and public policy, decisions are often guided by the outcomes of tested hypotheses. Evidence derived from hypothesis proof informs effective strategies, interventions, and policies, reducing uncertainty and risk. Thus, the proof of hypothesis not only serves academic purposes but also plays a vital role in addressing real-world problems and improving societal outcomes. Having said this hypothesis is indispensable. Bernard remarked, “A hypothesis is indispensable; it guides observation and experiment, but it must submit itself to verification.”

12.10 HYPOTHESIS TESTING FOSTERS CRITICAL THINKING AND ANALYTICAL SKILLS

The process of hypothesis testing fosters critical thinking and analytical skills. Researchers are required to question assumptions, evaluate evidence, and interpret results logically. This intellectual discipline strengthens problem-solving abilities and encourages a deeper understanding of complex phenomena. Even when findings do not support the initial hypothesis, the insights gained contribute to learning and future exploration.

In view of the above, it can be said that the proof of the hypothesis is central to ***the credibility, effectiveness, and progress of research.*** It transforms ideas into tested knowledge, supports informed decision-making, and drives the continuous advancement of science and scholarship. By

embracing systematic testing, careful analysis, and objective interpretation, researchers ensure that their work contributes meaningfully to both theory and practice. Secondly, the proof of a hypothesis is the womb of scientific induction. We in our daily life, heavily depend on formal or informal hypotheses. However, scientific induction has far-reaching relevance and implications that we cannot ignore in the years to come.

ACTIVITY 12.2

- What are the important theoretical and practical implications of hypothesis testing?

……
……
……..

CHECK YOUR PROGRESS

Q. 6: The proof of a hypothesis ensures that data collection and analysis are purposeful rather than random. (True/False)

Q. 7: Hypothesis testing has no theoretical and practical implications. (True/False)

Q. 8: The process of hypothesis testing fosters critical thinking and analytical skills. (True/False)

Q. 9: The proof of a hypothesis is central to the credibility, effectiveness, and progress of research. (True/False)

Q. 10: We, in our daily life, heavily depend on formal or informal hypotheses. (True/False)

12.11 LET US SUM UP

- In the field of scientific research and academic inquiry, the hypothesis plays a pivotal role in transforming abstract ideas into testable propositions.

- A hypothesis is a tentative statement that predicts a relationship between variables based on existing knowledge, theories, or observations.
- The value of a hypothesis lies not merely in its formulation but in its systematic testing and verification.
- The process through which a hypothesis is examined using empirical evidence is known as the proof of hypothesis.
- The proof of hypothesis involves the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data to determine whether the proposed statement is supported or contradicted by evidence. It does not imply absolute confirmation of truth; rather, it assesses the probability that the observed results occurred by chance.
- The proof of hypothesis is a fundamental component of the research process that gives direction, structure, and validity to scientific inquiry.
- A hypothesis addresses a broad research question into a specific, clear and testable statement, guiding the entire investigation and ensuring efficient use of resources.
- One of the primary objectives of hypothesis proof is to examine whether the assumptions made by the researcher are supported by factual evidence.
- The proof of the hypothesis relies on standardized methods, predefined criteria, and statistical procedures, which help minimize subjectivity and bias.
- In applied research, hypothesis testing plays a crucial role in decision-making and policy development.
- The proof of hypothesis is *a fundamental and indispensable component* of the research process, serving as the bridge between theoretical assumptions and empirical evidence. Throughout scientific and academic inquiry, hypotheses provide direction and focus by clearly stating what the researcher seeks to examine.
- The proof of hypothesis is *a fundamental and indispensable component* of the research process, serving as the bridge between theoretical assumptions and empirical evidence.

- The proof of the hypothesis is central to ***the credibility, effectiveness, and progress of research.*** It transforms ideas into tested knowledge, supports informed decision-making, and drives the continuous advancement of science and scholarship.

12.12 FURTHER READINGS

1. Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (2014). *Research in Education* (10th ed.). Pearson Education, New Delhi.

2. Copi, I. M. (1982). *Introduction to Logic* (6th ed.). Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York.

3. Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.

4. Kerlinger, F. N., & Lee, H. B. (2000). *Foundations of Behavioral Research* (4th ed.). Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.

5. Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques* (2nd ed.). New Age International Publishers, New Delhi.

6. Kumar, R. (2019). *Research Methodology: A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners* (5th ed.). Sage Publications, London.

7. McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2010). *Research in Education: Evidence-Based Inquiry* (7th ed.). Pearson, Boston.

8. Munshi, R. C. (1988). *Handbook of Logic*. Baikuntha Book House.

9. Neuman, W. L. (2011). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches* (7th ed.). Allyn & Bacon, Boston.

10. Popper, K. R. (1963). *Conjectures and Refutations*. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London.

11. Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach* (7th ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.

12. Trochim, W. M. K. (2006). *The Research Methods Knowledge Base*. Atomic Dog Publishing, Cincinnati, OH.

13. Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2013). *Business Research Methods* (9th ed.). South-Western Cengage Learning, Mason, OH.

✓ 12.13 ANSWERS TO CHECK YOUR PROGRESS

Answer to Q. No. 1: R. C. Munshi

Answer to Q. No. 2: I. M. Copi

Answer to Q. No. 3: True

Answer to Q. No. 4: True

Answer to Q. No. 5: True

Answer to Q. No. 6: True

Answer to Q. No. 7: False

Answer to Q. No. 8: True

Answer to Q. No. 9: True

Answer to Q. No. 10: True

? 12.14 MODEL QUESTIONS

A) Very short questions

Q. 1: Define the proof of a hypothesis.

Q. 2: Is the proof of a hypothesis a cornerstone of scientific investigation?

Q. 3: Does the proof of a hypothesis aim to expand the existing body of knowledge?

Q. 4: Is the proof of a hypothesis a fundamental and indispensable component of the research process?

Q. 5: How does the process of hypothesis testing foster critical thinking and analytical skills?

B) Short questions(Answer each question in about 100-150 words)

Q. 1: Write a short note on the proof of a hypothesis.

Q. 2: What are the major objectives of proving a hypothesis? Discuss.

Q. 3: What risks does research without hypothesis testing pose? Explain.

Q. 4: What does strong proof of a hypothesis require in terms of results? Discuss.

Q. 5: How does proving a hypothesis guide future research and contribute to knowledge? Explain.

Q. 6: How does hypothesis testing enhance critical thinking and analytical skills, and why is this important?

C) Long Questions(Answer each question in about 300-500 words)

Q. 1: Define proof of a hypothesis. Explain how a hypothesis plays a pivotal role in transforming abstract ideas into testable propositions.

Q. 2: What do you mean by proof of a hypothesis? What are the main objectives of proving a hypothesis?

Q. 3: Define proof of a hypothesis. Explain and examine the significance of proving a hypothesis.

Q. 4: What is proof of a hypothesis? Explain hypothesis testing and data analysis with examples.

Q. 5: Describe the theoretical and practical implications of hypothesis testing.

Q. 6: Explain how strong hypothesis proof requires reliability and validity of results.

Q. 7: Explain how proof of hypothesis guides future research and contributes to knowledge.

Q. 8: Explain how the proof of hypothesis enhances research quality and integrity.

Q. 9: Explain and examine how hypothesis testing fosters critical thinking and analytical skills.

Q. 10: Explain, with suitable examples, how hypothesis testing indicates whether empirical evidence aligns with the researcher's expectations.

*** ***** ***