UNIT 3: REVOLUTIONARY APPROACH TO SOCIAL MOVEMENT

UNIT STRUCTURE

3.1 Learning Objectives

3.2 Introduction

3.3 Overview

3.4 Main Advocates

3.5 Perspectives

3.6 Issues

- 3.6.1 Russian Revolution
- 3.6.2 French Revolution

3.7 Let Us Sum up

3.8 Further Reading

3.9 Answers to Check your Progress

3.10 Model Questions

3.1 LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After going through this unit, you will be able to –

- Know the perspective of the revolutionary approach
- Know the issues associated with the revolutionary approach to social movements
- Know the main advocates of this view.

3.2 INTRODUCTION

Through a study of the previous units, we are aware of the idea of social movements. Social movements, we know, occur with definite objectives, under different circumstances. It is also noteworthy that social movements can be identified in terms of different categories, based on their nature and objectives. In this unit, we shall emphasise on the revolutionary approach to social movements.

3.3 OVERVIEW

There have been numerous social movements from the beginning of time that have significantly changed the social orders where they happened. There have been many failed social movements as well. These movements have changed generally in their belief systems; a few movements have been revolutionary in their points, some have supported reforms to the current framework, despite everything others have been moderate in their direction and have attempted to contradict changes in the public eye. Social movements have differed in degree as well. For instance, numerous movements are constrained to nearby strategies while others have been global in their core interest. In spite of all of the distinctions in social movements however, there are significant scientific likenesses that sociologists have recognized, particularly with respect to the existence cycle of a social movement.

Since social movements have prompted such a significant number of sensational changes in social orders the world over, researchers have spent a lot of time attempting to comprehend where they originate from, who takes an interest in them, how they succeed, and how they come up. A lot of what they have found is that social movements do not simply occur; they require numerous assets and have numerous phases through which they evolve. Afterall, individuals do not just all of a sudden become annoyed with an arrangement or even a decision framework and after that in a split second structure a social movement with a reasonable belief system that is prepared to topple a current power structure.

A revolutionary movement (or revolutionary social movement) is a particular kind of social movement devoted to doing a revolution. A social movement might need to make different changes and to oversee the state, yet as long as they do not go for a selective control, its individuals are not revolutionary. Social movements may turn out to be increasingly radical and revolutionary, or the other way around - revolutionary movements can downsize their requests and consent to impart forces to other people, turning into an average political party.

Godwin recognizes a preservationist (reformist) and radical revolutionary movements, contingent upon the amount of a change they need to introduce. A moderate or reformist revolutionary movement will need to change less components of the financial and social framework than an extreme reformist development (Godwin likewise takes note of that not every single radical movement must be revolutionary). An extreme revolutionary movement will in this manner need both to take a selective control of the state, and to generally change one of more components of its general public, economy or culture.

3.4 MAIN ADVOCATES

In this section we will discuss about the main advocates and their roles associated with various revolutionary movements, so that you can understand the significance of revolutionary movements.

Revolution has been fundamental to the development of the modern world. The word itself alludes to radical, transformative change and has numerous conventional uses depicting wonders from the “industrial revolution” to the “sexual revolution.” As a chronicled procedure, “revolution” alludes to a movement, regularly vicious, to topple an old system and impact complete change in the crucial establishments of society. Innovation, many came to accept, must be accomplished through such vicious and all out change.

The motivation for some twentieth century upheavals was the Russian Revolution of 1917 driven by Vladimir Lenin and enlivened by the thoughts of Marxist Communism. Marx accepted that revolution was important to move social orders starting with one recorded stage then onto the next, and his definition fortified the impression of revolution as a general and inescapable process in world history. For over fifty years, the Russian Revolution gave would-be revolutionaries all through the world with a model for political revolution and financial change. From China’s Sun Yat-sen to Vietnam’s Ho Chi Minh, saw in the experience of the USSR answers for the situations of their claim nations.

The Iranian Revolution, also called Islamic Revolution of the late twentieth century, gives one more model of revolution. The Iranian revolution of 1979 looked for the extreme change of a state and society seen by numerous individuals as excessively mainstream and polluted by Western values and culture. The Iranian Revolution put patriot, Islamic values at the focal point of government and society and turned out to be one more case of current, revolutionary change. This revolution was started by supporters of Ayatollah Khomeini.

The twentieth century was a time of revolution in different parts of Asia. One factor advancing radical change in numerous Asian countries was the weight of Euro-American colonialism, beginning in the nineteenth century. As England, at that point France, Germany, and the United States industrialized in the nineteenth century; their worldwide arrival extended alongside their interest for an assortment of crude materials. A faith in the predominance of Western values along with monetary and mechanical advancements in shipbuilding, weaponry, etc., make a powerful blend that would challenge Asian social orders in numerous ways.

The Asian experience of government and revolution was as shifted as Asia itself. India, straightforwardly colonized by Britain beginning in the eighteenth century, saw the advancement of a little, expert white collar class and a political association, the Indian National Congress, which initiated the patriot hostile to pioneer development of the twentieth century. China, mortified in the Opium Wars of the mid-nineteenth century, was never colonized yet lost generous financial and political sway as European countries, the U.S., and Japan built up bargain ports and effective reaches in the nation, factors which filled the first revolution in Asia in the twentieth century, the Republican Revolution of 1911. Japan, debilitated by inconsistent arrangements it had to sign with Western powers during the 1850s, changed itself by the start of the twentieth century into a mechanical powerhouse with settlements of its own — a procedure historians have wavered to call a “revolution” yet one which was evidently “revolutionary.” Southeast Asian social orders, from the Philippines to Vietnam, would likewise progress

toward becoming states of different Western nations. The experience of dominion helped flash huge numbers of the revolution of twentieth century Asia. It was the chronicled condition that radicalized revolutionaries from Ho Chi Minh and Mao Tse-tung, to Mohandas Gandhi.

Numerous Asian revolutionaries looked for not just to accomplish autonomous nationhood, however additionally to change their social orders inside. In the mid twentieth century, many accepted that getting to be current required the end of old progressions and the production of new, progressively equivalent social relations. In China, this implied censuring old Confucian traditions and orders and undertaking central financial and political changes. Mohandas Gandhi adopted an alternate strategy, dismissing Western-enlivened "civilization" furthermore, pushing an arrival to "conventional" Indian ways. In the two models, interior change was viewed as a vital segment of revolution for national autonomy.

These differing encounters and understandings of "revolution" underline the significance of political and social revolution to current Asian history. As of late, with the disassembling of revolutionary systems in the Soviet Union and somewhere else and China's movement toward a market economy, a few historians have started changing their understandings of revolution and its results. Indeed, even in light of these re-examinations, there can be no uncertainty about the significance of revolution — as both an objective and chronicled process — to the arrangement of present day Asia and the modern world.

3.5 PERSPECTIVES

In this section we will discuss about the perspectives of revolutionary movements with the help of examples of revolutionary movement. Numerous revolutionary movements start with the flare-up of brutality, which is regularly a reaction to elevated suppression or other unprecedented demands from government against their kin. The American Revolution is an undeniable case of this. The viciousness appeared as the Revolutionary War and Congress turned into the administration. American Revolution was the

primary hostile to pioneer, democratic revolution ever. Americans demanded portrayal and when the British denied it, they fought their colonizers. Americans won and set up their own legislature, a republic. Consequently, what was at first attempted to verify for British Americans certifications of local autonomy and individual rights identical to those delighted in by Englishmen in the home islands, immediately became in 1775-76 a battle for political autonomy.

A significant part of the revolutionary reason originated from the colonial challenge to Parliament's capacity of enactment. This was the start of the Revolution. Since the patriots' demands could not be met, the nation declared itself free from 'mother England' and the united States of America were born.

Similarly, the French Revolution totally changed the social and political structure of France. It put an end to the French monarchy, feudalism, and took political power from the Catholic Church. It carried new plans to Europe including freedom and opportunity for the commoner just as the abolishment of bondage and the privileges of women. These new thoughts kept on affecting Europe and helped a considerable lot of Europe's advanced governments.

3.6 ISSUES

In this section we will discuss various revolutionary movements. This will enable you to understand the different issues that revolutionary movements in different parts of the world have been engaging with.

3.6.1 Russian Revolution

The revolutionary movement united political and social destinations from the beginning. It laid its cases for the sake of the lower classes, which it generally saw as abused. The Socialist Revolutionaries followed up in the interest of all the 'oppressed', yet fundamentally the laborers, while the Social Democrats walked for the sake of the urban 'proletariat'. The Liberals, then again, believed themselves to

be 'class neutral'. Be that as it may all things considered this camp had a social stratum of its own, running from the change situated aristocracy and the intelligentsia to the business mechanical bourgeoisie. In the main revolution, these different propensities experienced advantageous interaction. The almost indistinguishable planning of the general strike in the capital and the workers' raging of the farming bequests in fall 1905 stunned the state to such a degree, that it consented to concessions to the popularity based requests of the Liberals.

In February 1917 comparable convergence took place, with one central distinction. Rather than the assault of laborers and laborers, a collusion of laborers and garrisoned troopers drove the attack. This time the peasants remained standoffish. The agrarian revolution occurred later, yet more brutally than any other time in recent memory, after the breakdown of the tsarist state and its standard by power in summer 1917. Later the provincial population divorced itself from the urban revolutionary movement and went its own different route until fall 1918. The centre criticalness of the change can be summarized with one result: the wildcat (i.e., unconstrained) redistribution of the property of the landed nobility. The workers held onto that which they had consistently accepted to be their legitimate belonging. The dominating type of the activities was normal for the workers' connections to custom. Most towns acted by and large also, allocated the land to commune members as indicated by the manages of custom to be utilized for a specific timeframe. Proprietorship stayed in the hands of the obshchina, and private property appeared de fact only on the grounds that barely any redistribution happened from that point. Following this, their revolution, the workers were satiated. Occasions and issues past their town left them cold. Since the New Financial Policy legalized the change, they could see themselves as the victors of the revolution regardless of the repulsions of the Civil War. Notwithstanding, this redistribution unleashed destruction on the

economy. It nullified the huge developed property which yielded a huge piece of the homestead produce sold somewhere else and decreased the normal grounds to a level which barely got the job done for proficient and stable creation for the advertise. The result was an emergency inclination that gave a persuading contention for a halfway arranged economy.

The outcomes of the February 1917 general strike of the Petrograd laborers were increasingly convoluted. From the outlook of the heroes, the medium-term consequences were negative. The agitators just figured out how to acquire their requests in the short run. Wages expanded generously, and working hours were diminished, even in spite of the fact that the chief interest, a law stipulating an 8 hour workday, was not accomplished. Individual and social security were particularly improved. In any case, joblessness following the monetary breakdown counterbalances these advantages from late-spring on. This misfortune made it workable for the syndical plant advisory group development to expand the state in the board it had picked up in Petrograd in March to full control like never before previously. To make an already difficult situation even worse, the desires it valued after the October topple were totally run. In the event that the Bolsheviks had a run of the mill method for managing 'their' voting demographic, it was uncovered in their relations with the industrial facility councils. Before the oust they received the requests of the panels; after the Bolsheviks held onto control, they likewise prevailing with regards to picking up control of these organs. Toward the start of the year the 'rebel decentralized' production line boards were gagged by the associations, which themselves were on the approach to turning into a 'transmission belt' (Lenin) of the gathering. All that remained was the failure over unkept guarantees, which activated spreading strikes, the rebellion of Kronstadt mariners and intraparty analysis ('Workers' Opposition'). This dissidence was stifled by spring 1921, much of the time with extraordinary fierceness.

3.6.2 French Revolution

The French Revolution was an earth shattering chronicled occasion that set suffering examples for present day revolutionary movements and for a lot of current governmental issues as a rule. Contemporary responses to it bolstered into the advancement of numerous philosophies, from conservatism to communism. Academic contentions about the hugeness and elucidation of the French Revolution have been energized specifically by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels' utilization of it as the prime case of a 'bourgeois revolution' that arranged the route for the triumph of capitalism. In spite of the fact that the discussions over the Marxist translation proceed, late scholarship has turned in new ways: sexual orientation relations; the thought of citizenship and its association with religion, ethnicity, what's more, race; and the intellectual history of the Revolution and its effect as an occasion in worldwide history.



CHECK YOUR PROGRESS

Q1. Explain French Revolution.

.....

.....

Q2. Write a short note on Iranian Revolution.

.....

.....



3.7 LET US SUM UP

- A revolutionary movement (or revolutionary social movement) is a particular kind of social movement devoted to doing a revolution.

- A social movement might need to make different changes and to oversee the state, yet as long as they do not go for a selective control, its individuals are not revolutionary.
- Social movements may turn out to be increasingly radical and revolutionary, or the other way around - revolutionary movements can downsize their requests and consent to impart forces to other people, turning into an average political party.
- After the French Revolution of the eighteenth century which ousted the government and endeavoured to refashion society from start to finish, revolution wound up synonymous with the radical defeating of the past. Innovation, many came to accept, must be accomplished through such vicious and all out change.
- Marx accepted that revolution was important to move social orders starting with one recorded stage then onto the next, and his definition fortified the impression of revolution as a general and inescapable process in world history.
- The Iranian revolution of 1979 looked for the extreme change of a state and society seen by numerous individuals as excessively mainstream and polluted by Western values and culture. The Iranian Revolution put patriot, Islamic values at the focal point of government and society and turned out to be one more case of current, revolutionary change. This revolution was started by supporters of Ayatollah Khomeini.
- The French Revolution totally changed the social and political structure of France. It put an end to the French monarchy, feudalism, and took political power from the Catholic Church. It carried new plans to Europe including freedom and opportunity for the commoner just as the abolishment of bondage and the privileges of women. These new thoughts kept on affecting Europe and helped a considerable lot of Europe's advanced governments.



3.8 FURTHER READING

1. Bakhurst, D., & Shanker, S. (2001). Jerome Bruner: Language, Culture, Self. Sage Publication
2. Goodwin, J. (2001). No Other Way Out States and Revolutionary Movements. Cambridge University Press.

3.9 ANSWERS TO CHECK YOUR PROGRESS

Ans to Q No.1. The French Revolution was an earth shattering chronicled occasion that set suffering examples for present day revolutionary movements and for a lot of current governmental issues as a rule. Contemporary responses to it bolstered into the advancement of numerous philosophies, from conservatism to communism. Academic contentions about the hugeness and elucidation of the French Revolution have been energized specifically by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels' utilization of it as the prime case of a 'bourgeois revolution' that arranged the route for the triumph of capitalism. In spite of the fact that the discussions over the Marxist translation proceed, late scholarship has turned in new ways: sexual orientation relations; the thought of citizenship and its association with religion, ethnicity, what's more, race; and the intellectual history of the Revolution and its effect as an occasion in worldwide history.

Ans to Q No.2. The Iranian Revolution is also called Islamic Revolution of the late twentieth century gives one more model of revolution. The Iranian revolution of 1979 looked for the extreme change of a state and society seen by numerous individuals as excessively mainstream and polluted by Western values and culture. The Iranian Revolution put patriot, Islamic values at the focal point of government and society and turned out to be one more case of current, revolutionary change. This revolution was started by supporters of Ayatollah Khomeini.



3.10 MODEL QUESTIONS

Short Questions (Answer each question within 150 words)

Q1. Give a brief overview of revolutionary approach to social movements.

Long Questions (Answer each question within 300-500 words)

Q1. Discuss the main advocates of revolutionary approach to social movements.

Q2. Explain Russian Revolution.